

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,077		03/12/2001	James H. Wang	11302-1050 (44040-251536)	3503
23594	7590	12/26/2001			
JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 PEACHTREE				EXAMINER	
				MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
	SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1711	/2
				DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		1.0
	Application No.	applicant(s)
•	09/753,077	WANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711 ·
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will and the period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u> </u>	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ approved b)∐ d	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	' -	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	oplication No
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has be	een received.
Attachment(s)	and priority under 50 0.0.0.	33 120 dila/01 121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/753,077

1711

Art Unit

The following is an election <u>and</u> restriction requirement.

In order to be fully responsive, applicants must respond to <u>both</u> the election and restriction.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, 32 and 33, drawn to a polymer blend, classified in Class 525, subclass 56.
- II. Claims 21-30, drawn to a process of forming a grafted polymer blend, classified in Class 525, subclass 63.
- III. Claim 31, drawn to process of producing a grafted polymer blend, classified in Class 525, subclass 191.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process in which non-graft copolymers are blended.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of

Serial No. 09/753,077

Art Unit 1711

the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP \S 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process in which non-graft copolymers are blended .

The process of the Group II invention and the process of the Group III invention are not related by any of the relationships set out in MPEP § 806.05(a)-(i).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicants are required to elect a single polar monomer, oligomer or polymer from one of those in claim 8 or 9; applicants are also required to elect a single biodegradable polymer from one of those in claim 14; applicants are also required to elect a single water soluble polymer from one of those in claim 13; applicants are also required to elect a single water soluble polymer from one of those in claim 13; applicants are also required to elect their graftd water soluble polymers or ungrafted water soluble polymers.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Serial No. 09/753,077
Art Unit 1711

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of

Serial No. 09/753,077

Art Unit 1711

their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of this election/restriction requirement, no telephone elction/restriction was attempted.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820.

J. Mullis:cdc

December 22, 2001

